

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address SOMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. D Box 1450 Alekandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nsbu.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,102	04/25/2001	Kenji Suzuki	401165	4985
23548 75	590 05/22/2006		EXAM	INER
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD		ENGLAND, DAVID E		
700 THIRTEEN	NTH ST. NW			
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N DC 20005-3960		2143	

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/841,102	SUZUKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David E. England	2143
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	_	1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 February 2006	•
-	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und		
·	parte quajio, 1000 Oil	
Disposition of Claims		
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4 – 8, 10 – 16</u> is/are pending		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4 – 8, 10 – 16</u> is/are rejected	d.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	ν.	
··· _		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2006 is		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		, ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·
application from the International Bu	•	,
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.
	•	
Attachment(s)		
	4) I Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paner No.	s)/Mail Date.
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/841,102

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2, 4 - 8 and 10 - 16 are presented for examination.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "correcting unit" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "detecting unit" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified

Art Unit: 2143

and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4 8 and 10 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 6. Claims 1 and 7 recite the use of a "correcting unit" but there is no description or drawings in the application that states or shows where or what the "correcting unit" is embodied on.

 Applicant is asked to amend the claims or point to the specification and drawings as to where the "correcting unit" is embodied on.
- 7. Claims 2, 4-6, 8 and 10-14 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above or for their dependency on claims 1 and 7

Application/Control Number: 09/841,102 Page 4

Art Unit: 2143

8. Claims 6 and 13 recite the use of a "detecting unit" but there is no description or drawings in the application that states or shows where or what the "detecting unit" is embodied on. Applicant is asked to amend the claims or point to the specification and drawings as to where the "detecting unit" is embodied on.

- 9. Claims 7, 8 and 10 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 10. Claims 7 and 15 recite the limitations of, a first global timer providing control to said devices through said network". This limitation is not disclosed in the specification nor is it described as to how the first global timer gives or "provides" control to said devices. The specification does state that a controller unit transmits a "periodic control command" to the devices 3a and 3b, but this is not giving control to a device. Furthermore, claim 15 further states "a second global timer that provides control to said devices connected to said second network", which is also not disclosed in the specification nor is it described as stated above. Applicant is asked to amend or clarify by pointing to sections of the specification and drawings the limitation provided above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/841,102

Art Unit: 2143

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

- 12. Claims 1, 2, 4 8 and 10 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voth (6351821) in view of Strong et al. (5689688) (hereinafter Strong).
- 13. Referencing claim 7, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Voth teaches a periodic control synchronous system for synchronizing periodic control between a controller connected in a network and devices connected said network, wherein
- 14. said controller includes a first global timer providing control to said devices through said network, (e.g. col. 4, lines 34 53);
- 15. a control period timer which controls a control period for periodic control of said controller, (e.g. col. 4, lines 34 53);
- 16. a time stamp providing unit which provides a periodic transfer packet with a time stamp showing synchronous timing time of the control period designated by said control period timer by using global time indicated by said first global timer, (e.g. col. 5, lines 33 49); and
- 17. a transmitting unit which transmits the periodic transfer packet provided with the time stamp to said devices, (e.g. col. 5, lines 33 49), and
- 18. each of said devices includes a second global timer controlled through said network, (e.g. col. 6, lines 15-31); and

- 19. a periodic control unit which synchronizes operation period of said device with the control period using the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp of the periodic transfer packet transmitted by said transmitting unit and global time indicated by said second global timer, (e.g. col. 6, lines 32 54).
- 20. Voth does not specifically teach an operation period timer which controls operation period of said device itself;
- 21. a comparing unit which compares the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp of the periodic transfer packet transmitted by said transmitting unit and the global time indicated by said second global timer; and
- 22. a correcting unit which corrects said operation period timer by determining a time difference between the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp compared by said comparing unit and the global time indicated by said second global timer at the synchronous timing time indicated by said operation period timer, and determines a timer correction value of said operation control period timer based on the time difference.
- 23. Strong teaches an operation period timer which controls operation period of said device itself, (e.g. col. 13, lines 38 58);
- 24. a comparing unit which compares the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp of the periodic transfer packet transmitted by said transmitting unit and the global time indicated by said second global timer, (e.g. col. 13, lines 38 58); and
- a correcting unit which corrects said operation period timer by determining a time difference between the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp compared by said comparing unit and the global time indicated by said second global

timer at the synchronous timing time indicated by said operation period timer, and determines a timer correction value of said operation control period timer based on the time difference, (e.g. col. 13, lines 38 - 58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Strong with Voth because it would be more accurate for the device to accommodate for the latency from the transfer time to the arrival time of the packet to achieve as close to the time designated by the master global time.

- 26. As per claim 8, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Voth teaches said controller comprises a latch unit which latches the global time of said first global timer, and holds the timer latched, (e.g. col. 4, lines 34 53), and
- 27. said control period timer latches the global time of said first global timer in said latch unit at the synchronous timing of the periodic control designated by said control period timer, (e.g. col. 4, lines 34 53), and
- 28. said time stamp providing unit provides the periodic transfer packet with the time stamp having the global time latched by said latch unit, offset by a portion of the control period, (e.g. col. 4, line 54 col. 5, line 6).
- 29. As per claim 10, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Voth teaches said correcting unit includes a detecting unit which detects whether the time difference is within an allowable range, (e.g. col. 13, line 54 col. 14, line 4),
- 30. corrects said operation period timer based on the timer correction value or the timer period correction value when the time difference is within the allowable range, and does not

Art Unit: 2143

correct said operation period timer when the time difference is outside of the allowable range, (e.g. col. 14, lines 5-23).

- 31. Referencing claim 11, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Voth teaches a correcting unit which resets said operation period timer when the global time indicated by said second global timer reaches the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp, (e.g. col. 4, line 54 col. 5, line 6 & col. 6, lines 32 54).
- 32. Referencing claim 12, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Voth teaches said correcting unit resets said operation period timer when reaching the synchronous timing indicated by said operation period timer before the global time indicated by said second global timer reaches the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp, and resets said operation period timer again later when the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp at least reaches the global time indicated by said second global timer, (e.g. col. 4, line 54 col. 5, line 6).
- 33. Referencing claim 13, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Voth does not specifically teach said correcting unit includes a detecting unit which detects whether the time difference between the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp compared by said comparing unit and the global time indicated by said second global timer at the synchronous timing indicated by said operation period timer is within an allowable range, and

Page 9

Art Unit: 2143

does not correct said operation period timer when the time difference is outside of the allowable range.

- 34. Strong teaches said correcting unit includes a detecting unit which detects whether the time difference between the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp compared by said comparing unit and the global time indicated by said second global timer at the synchronous timing indicated by said operation period timer is within an allowable range, and does not correct said operation period timer when the time difference is outside of the allowable range, (e.g. col. 13, lines 38 58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Strong with Voth because it would be more accurate for the device to accommodate for the latency from the transfer time to the arrival time of the packet to achieve as close to the time designated by the master global time.
- 35. Referencing claim 14, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Voth does not specifically teach said correcting unit determines the timer period correction value of said operation period timer from the time difference between the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp and the global time indicated by said second global timer, and thereby corrects said operation period timer based on the timer period correction value.
- 36. Strong teaches said correcting unit determines the timer period correction value of said operation period timer from the time difference between the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp and the global time indicated by said second global timer, and thereby corrects said operation period timer based on the timer period correction value, (e.g. col. 13, lines 38 58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Application/Control Number: 09/841,102 Page 10

Art Unit: 2143

at the time the invention was made to combine Strong with Voth because it would be more accurate for the device to accommodate for the latency from the transfer time to the arrival time of the packet to achieve as close to the time designated by the master global time.

- 37. Claims 1, 2 and 4 6 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 38. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voth and what is well known in the art.
- 39. Referencing claim 15, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Voth teaches a periodic control synchronous system synchronizing periodic control between a controller connected first and second networks, and devices connected to said first network and devices connected to said second network, wherein said controller includes
- 40. first global timer providing control to said devices connected to said first network, (e.g. col. 4, line 54– col. 5, line 6);
- 41. a control period timer which controls a control period of periodic control of said periodic control synchronous system, (e.g. col. 4, lines 34 53);
- 42. a time stamp providing unit which provides a periodic transfer packet transmitted periodically to said first network with the time stamp showing synchronous timing of the control period designated by said control period timer using global time indicated by said first global timer, (e.g. col. 4, line 54 col. 5, line 6);

Application/Control Number: 09/841,102

Art Unit: 2143

43. each of said devices connected to said first network includes a third global timer controlled respectively through said first network, (e.g. col. 6, lines 32 – 54); and

Page 11

- 44. a periodic control unit which synchronizes an operation period of the corresponding device with the control period using the synchronous timing time of the periodic control indicated by the time stamp of the periodic transfer packet and global time indicated by said third global timer, (e.g. col. 6, lines 32 54). Voth does not specifically teach a second global timer providing control to said devices connected to said second network. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a second global timer providing control to said devices connected to said second network, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.
- 45. Referencing claim 16, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Voth teaches first latch unit which latches the global time of said first global timer, and holds the timer latched, (e.g. col. 4, lines 34 53), and
- 46. said control period timer latches the global time of said first global timer in said latch unit at the synchronous timing of the periodic control designated by said control period timer, (e.g. col. 4, lines 34 53), and
- 47. said time stamp providing unit provides the periodic transfer packet with the time stamp having the global time latched by said latch unit, offset by a portion of the control period, (e.g. col. 4, line 54 col. 5, line 6). Voth does not specifically teach a second latch unit which latches the global time of said second global timer, and holds the time latched. It would have been

Art Unit: 2143

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a second latch unit which latches the global time of said second global timer, and holds the time latched, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Response to Arguments

48. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4 - 8 and 10 - 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 49. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 50. a. Parry et al. U.S. Patent No. 5822381 discloses Distributed global clock system.
- 51. b. Arsenault et al. U.S. Patent No. 6587957 discloses Disk drive controller for controlling data flow therethrough by switching to secondary bus to receive clock pulses when a failure on master bus is detected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. England whose telephone number is 571-272-3912. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 7:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/841,102 Page 13

Art Unit: 2143

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David E. England Examiner Art Unit 2143

DE 1/1

BUNJOE JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER